

HKR evaluation, written comments

Overall, what were the positive aspects of the course?	What can be done to improve the course? Please, provide suggestions!
Great teacher and guest lectures. Interesting topic and great fun to 'get to know' a corporation. Collin is excellent. Not afraid to say things that some might consider un-PC.	Ease the workload. I have worked every weekend during the course. Appr. 55-60hs spent a week. Most of the time is spent reading and preparing for lectures and presentations. A good idea is to skip the exam and ease the stress of that. The report is not a 40h a week examination. Should be awarded more credits. So, skip the exam and add the credits to the report.
The literature and the way of teaching that students have to come up with their own ideas and critique the theories...Interesting way	more time is needed for the paper to achieve better quality in writing
Due to the heavy workload, I have learned a lot. The field is interesting and it was fun to take the course.	Since the final paper was quite extensive, the exam seems unnecessary. I think students are showing understanding and knowledge through their papers rather than the exam. If the exam is to remain, I would suggest that the paper is reduced a bit. The workload in this course compared to the three previous courses is a lot more! So either the first three courses are too small or this course is too big for being a 7.5 credit course.
The teachers knew their subject and most of the lectures were interesting.	- Could try to integrate it with the WIL-course, right now it feels strange to first find a company for this course and make a analysis of it and then find another company. - The structure was really bad also since this course started 13 december and end
Very interesting subject, over all very well managed and organized course with well selected literature.	No exam in the end of the course or at least only 1 credit point worth. The course content was very large and extensive to include in a paper. The paper demanded around 60 hours per week, so instead of only 4 credit points for the paper and 3.5 credit points for the exam, it is more justified to account 6 or 6.5 credits for the extensive paper!
I have learned a lot, it was a very interesting course	It was a lack of time, so it was sometimes very stressful.. maybe the presentations could been done in a different way, so they werent that formal. It could rather be a discussion where the students sat down and talk about what was hard to understand and if we had some questions.
Very relevant course and probably the one where I learned the most	The work amount was not fair according to the ECTS points. I spent more than 60 hours every week on the course, which also meant no

	<p>weekend or christmas holiday. This is not fair when some of us have to work 1 or 2 days a week next to the study to meet our financial needs. Also, with a hand in of more than 50 pages written text in a period of 4 weeks I would expect 15 ECTS. Also, it's was not necessary to have a written exam when so much was expected of us in the written paper. I did not get a chance to study properly for the written exam and I do not believe that is because of bad time planning from my end (if you believe so, check the feedback from some of the other students to compare). Instead I believe the workload was too much. However, with so much critical feedback, I have a solution for you. Why don't you merge the work integrated course with corporate governance? It would make so much more sense and would also corospond much better to the workload</p>
<p>Bra att det är högt tempo, det är trots allt på magisternivå. Dock kunde det varit färre kapitel i uppgiften. Det hade gjort att mer tid kunde läggas på uppgiften, och den hade blivit bättre. Många gånger skrev man för att hinna i tid, och inte för att det skulle bli en bra text.</p>	
<p>Sven-Olof Collin as a teacher.</p>	<p>More time.</p>
<p>We got to learn a lot, and got a new and more holistic view of how corporations are governed.</p>	<p>The workload was to much. I understand that the work load and the expectations increase when on a master level, however having to spend between 60-70 hours a week studying is too much. It is simply not accepted. Some of us that study have to work beside studying to be able to finance our living expenses, so having to study for 10-11 hours 7 days a week is too much. It would have been much better if you either removed the written exam, or combined this course with the VFU-course.</p>
<p>Interesting subject and received a lot of knowledge.</p>	<p>The course was too intensive so it ought to be longer or less intense.</p>